



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,121	09/10/2003	Rex Huan-Yueh Chen	ASTP0035USA	2120
27765 75	7590 07/18/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			NGUYEN, LEE	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618	
		DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006		5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/605,121	CHEN, REX HUAN-YUEH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	LEE NGUYEN	2618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (25 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		-· action is non-final.				
3)	•	his application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) <u>r-o</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers	•				
	•					
\	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		_			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
		animer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IOTH PTO-132.			
Priority i	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vialen et al. (US 2001/0018342).

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Vialen teaches a method for handling an unrecoverable error on a dedicated channel for a wireless device, the method comprising: determining if the wireless device is in a CELL_DCH state (see para [0006]); determining if a layer one radio link failure has occurred (see para [0006]); in response to determining that the wireless device is in the CELL_DCH state and that radio link failure has occurred, performing radio access bearer (RAB) release steps to release radio bearers (see para [0007]); and inherently in response to determining that the wireless device is in the CELL_DCH state and that radio link failure has not occurred, not performing the RAB release steps (in para [0006], the RAB release is performed once the radio link is failure).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,121

Art Unit: 2618

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vialen et al. in view of the prior art admitted by Applicant in paragraph [0084] in the specification of the present application (referred to as the admitted Prior art hereinafter).

Regarding claims 2-3 and 5-6, Vialen fails to teach that the wireless device initiating a Cell Update procedure, and in response to the wireless device initiating the Cell Up-date procedure, a Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network (UTRAN) receiving a CELL UPDATE message from the wireless device; in response to receiving the CELL UPDATE message, the UTRAN composing a CELL UPDATE CONFIRM message comprising an information element (IE) "RLC re-establish indicator (RB2, RB3 and RB4)"; and the UTRAN transmitting the CELL UPDATE CONFIRM message to the wireless device. However, these steps are conventionally well known, as taught by the admitted Prior art in paragraph [0084]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the admitted prior art with Vialen in order to reestablish the link when the link is lost as recommended by The 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to LEE NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7854. The examiner can normally be reached on FIRST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDERSON D. MATTHEW can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER